



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,287	06/26/2001	Jennifer L. Hillman	PF-0334-2 DIV	2779
27904	7590 06/30/200		EXAMINER	
INCYTE CORPORATION EXPERIMENTAL STATION ROUTE 141 & HENRY CLAY ROAD			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. E330	ON, DE 19880		1644	
WILMINGI	ON, DE 19000		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Apı	plication No.	Applicant(s)			
		/892,287	HILLMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summa	ary Exa	aminer	Art Unit			
		rick J. Nolan	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the material replayers of the period of the pe	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply within sximum statutory period will app of for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date of the communication.	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of th ly and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>29 <i>Januar</i></u>	<u>y 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action					
3) Since this application is in co) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex par	rte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 30-45</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30,3</u> 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>36 and 39</u> is/are allo 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,31,32,34,37,38 and</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	33,35 <i>and 44</i> is/are wit wed. <i>nd 40-43</i> is/are rejecte d to.	hdrawn from consid	eration.			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a) accepted ny objection to the drawin acluding the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeya required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified of application from the Inte	e of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority do ernational Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in <i>i</i> ocuments have beer T Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Regaling Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/892,287 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

1. Applicant's amendments, filed 3/24/03, 6/6/03 and 1/29/04 are acknowledged.

Claims 1-10, 12-29 and 46-47 have been cancelled previously. Claims 11 and 30-45 are pending.

2. Claims 30, 33, 35 and 44-45 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction requirement in Paper No. 7.

3. In view of the rejections set forth below, the issue of rejoinder of claims 30, 33, 35 and

44-45 is held in abeyance.

4. Claims 30, 33, 35 and 44-45 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner,

37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions.

Claims 11, 31-32, 34 and 36-43 are under consideration in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or

described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter

sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would

have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the

art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner

in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under

35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

Art Unit: 1644

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. Claims 37-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laxminarayan et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 1993; 268:4968-4974, of record) in view of GenBank Accession #AAB03214 (Nussbaum, R.L., (GI 1399101, GenBank Sequence Database, Accession No. AAB03214, National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD 20894, Release Date 29 June 1996, Version AAB03214.1, of record), as evidenced by the alignment previously provided, for reasons set forth in the Paper mailed 8-26-03.
- 7. Claims 40-41 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 1994; 269(5):3403-3410, of record) in view of GenBank Accession #AAB03214 (Nussbaum, R.L., (GI 1399101, GenBank Sequence Database, Accession No. AAB03214, National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD 20894, Release Date 29 June 1996, Version AAB03214.1, of record), as evidenced by the previously provided alignment, for reasons of record set forth in the Paper mailed 8-26-03.
- 8. Claims 11, 31-32, 34 and 42-43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 1994; 269(5):3403-3410, of record) in view of GenBank Accession #AAB03214 (Nussbaum, R.L., (GI 1399101, GenBank Sequence Database, Accession No. AAB03214, National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD 20894, Release Date 29 June 1996, Version AAB03214.1, of record), as evidenced by the alignment provided previously, and as evidenced by Bost et al. (Immunol. Invest. 1988; 17:577-586) and Bendayan (J. Histochem. Cytochem. 1995; 43:881-886), and further in view of Ramakrishnan et al. (US Pat. No. 5,817,310, of record), for reasons set forth in the Paper mailed 8-26-03.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,287

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant's arguments filed 1-29-04 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicant's arguments against the 35 USC 103 rejections are based primarily on two positions.

- 1. The phrase specifically binds excludes antibodies made to other protein that would also bind applicants claimed protein.
- 2. That the prior art EST amino acid sequence is not 90% identical to applicant's claimed SEQ ID NO. 1 and so the prior references cannot make obvious applicant's claimed invention.

In response to Applicant's first point, when one of skill considers the scope of a claimed term or phrase one must look to the specification for a clear definition of the term or one of skill is required to apply what is an art recognized definition for the term in understanding the scope of the claim. Applicant has pointed to parts of the specification for clear limiting definition of the term "specifically binds". The Examiner has reviewed said passages and finds no direct support for a phrase of specifically binds that limits the antibodies being generate to only bind to the claimed protein. In other words that no specific cross reactive binding is included in the genus of antibodies claimed.

Applicant is guided to Kuby et al., attached, which defines the term cross reactivity as a property of antibodies to specifically bind two antigens that share identical epitopes. Since Applicant has provided direct evidence that the prior art protein, Nussbaum et al., and the SEQ ID NO. I share multiple identical epitopes, the antibodies to the Nussbaum et al., protein would be expected to cross react and therefore specifically bind applicant's claimed protein. Applicant's included Dictionary reference to specificity is not found persuasive since it is not what one of skill in the art would consider the most closely related "Dictionary reference". A textbook in Immunology is substantially more relevant.

In response to Applicant's second point. The claims being rejected are product claims, the immunogen being used to generate the antibodies is irrelevant as long as the product taught by the prior has all of the functional and structural properties of the claimed product.

Art Unit: 1644

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

10. Claims 36 and 39 as currently recited are allowable.

11. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is 571-272-0847.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina

Chan, can be reached at 571-272-0841.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

law V-Nolem

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

June 24, 2004